

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 \sim

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,532	04/06/2005	Ritsuko Yamada	Q87366	4800
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 09/12/2007 ION, PLLC		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BUTTNER, DAVID J	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, 20 20037	171		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/530,532	YAMADA, RITSUKO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		David Buttner	1712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Al</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2,3,9-12,14,15 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accertains.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	· 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1,5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Vredenburgh '723.

Vredenburgh exemplifies (IV) a blend of natural rubber, SBR and a butylphenol-paraldehyde novolak. Such a novolak would have repeating units of only (A) with R2 = methyl (col 2 line 20-21). It is assumed the number of repeating units <10 because novolaks (ie phenolic resins) in general have a low number of repeating when added to rubbers (see EP967244 paragraph 34). Vredenburgh (col 1 line 53-59) states that these novolaks can also be used with natural rubber /polyisoprene rubbers.

Claims 1,4-8 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamamoto '086

Yamamoto (table 11) exemplifies blends of natural rubber, a novolak, hexamethylene tetramine and nylon fiber. The novolak will have –CH2CH2—between the phenols when p=2 (see formula II of column 6).

Claims 2,3,9-12,14 and 15 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The cited art does not suggest the R1/R2 groups of claims 2 and 3.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues Vredenburgh requires SBR to be present as one of the rubbers.

This ignores the teaching at column 1 line 53-59 that polyisoprene can be used in place of SBR.

Art Unit: 1712

The examiner agrees Ajiro lacks the current rubber limitation.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Buttner whose telephone number is 571-272-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 10 to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1712

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Buttner

DAVID J. BUTTNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/7/07

David Bitter